	1		
1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney		
2 3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7 8 9	DEREK R. OWENS (CABN 230237) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6488 Fax: (415) 436-7234 Email: Derek.Owens@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 08-0380 MHP	
15	Plaintiff,	CTIDLIL ATION AND IDDODOCEDI	
16	v.)) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM	
17	RODANTE A. MIRANDA,	AUGUST 25, 2008 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 8, 2008	
18	Defendant.		
19			
20			
21	On August 25, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for status hearing. As		
22	that time, the parties stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act		
23	calculations from August 25, 2008 through September 8, 2008, for effective preparation and		
24	continuity of defense counsel. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the		
25	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the		
26	exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the		
27	//		
28	//		
	STIP. AND ORDER CR 08-0380 MHP		

1	ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public		
2	and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).		
3			
4	SO STIPULATED:		
5		JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney	
6	8/26/2008	/s/ Derek Owens	
7 8	DATED:	DEREK OWENS Assistant United States Attorney	
9 10	8/26/2008 DATED:	/s/ Geoff Hansen	
11	DATED.	GEOFF HANSEN Attorney for Mr. Miranda	
12	As the Court found on August 25, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that		
13	an exclusion of time between August 25, 2008 through September 8, 2008, is warranted and that		
14	the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the		
15	defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested		
16	continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
17			
18			
19			
20	SO ORDERED.		
21			
22			
23	DATED:	THE HONORABLE MARILYN HALL PATEL	
24	Officed States Magistrate Judge		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIP. AND ORDER CR 08-0380 MHP	2	